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Executive Summary

The following assessment was commissioned to determine the technical and economic feasibility of integrating a biomass heating system with three existing facilities in Mullan, Idaho. This assessment is funded through the USDA Forest Service, Region One, as part of the Fuels for Schools program. The field investigation took place on October 9, 2004.

Field investigation identified the following information:

The Mullan Athletic Pavilion is located at the east edge of Mullan, with good access to Interstate 90 and the adjacent street network. The existing Mullan High School and John Mullan Elementary School are located on a separate campus south of town about 1,000 lineal feet from the Mullan Athletic Pavilion. A potential pipe route between the MAP building and school facilities would involve removing and replacing pavement and crossing a live stream as well as numerous utilities. It does not appear that building a central plant to serve all three facilities would be feasible.

The MAP building is a two story concrete frame and brick in-fill building. The high school is a two story brick building with limited access to the boiler room. Access to the elementary school boiler room could be accomplished by driving over the paved playground between the buildings. The preferred biomass boiler building location would be on the south side of the MAP building, west of the existing boiler room.

The MAP building is heated with a pair of natural gas fired hot water boilers 3.8 MBTU and 1.6 MBTU in size. The pool is used year-round and maintains a substantial base load of more than 250 decatherms even in the summer months. The building also contains showers used by pool occupants, basketball and football players. Four inches of standing water from an unknown source was identified on the floor of the boiler room. The boiler room has an exceptionally high ceiling and connecting the biomass boiler piping to the existing boiler piping should be readily accomplished.

Option A – Automated Wood Chip Plant
Construct a semi-automated wood chip heating plant on the south side of the MAP building. The heating plant would include a 4.0 MMBTUH hot water boiler and related equipment with adjacent chip storage. This option provides heat for the base load of the pool and assumes that the existing boilers would be used as back up and for peak loads. The biomass system would be a semi-automated system similar to the Chiptec “A” Series, Grovedwood Heat or Messersmith Dragon systems that require day bins to be filled with wood chips. A small tractor would be used to transfer the chips from the chip storage building to the day bin. Piping would extend underground from the biomass plant to the existing boiler plant. Underground piping (25 feet of heating supply and return and 25 feet of cold water and waste water lines) would require the removal and replacement of the finished asphalt surface. The $40,000 integration costs for this project would be similar to Victor, Montana. Estimated cost: $350,000.

Option B – Wood Pellet Fuel Plant
Construct a wood pellet heating plant and storage silo adjacent to the existing boiler room. The heating plant would include 4.0 MMBTUH hot water pellet fuel boiler and related equipment. This option provides heat for the base load of the majority of the pool and assumes that the existing boilers would be used for peak loads and as back up. The pellet fuel system would require a separate storage silo. Pellets are produced in Couer d’Alene are available for approximately $100/ton is used in the cash flow analysis. Understanding the extent of the utilization factor (percent of the time the system is operating at full load) is not possible without more detailed energy modeling and is not in the scope of this analysis. An installed cost for this system would be in the range of $250,000.
Biomass boiler system budget estimates are based upon recent biomass assessments and project costs for completed systems.

Results of Evaluation

The results of this analysis are summarized below. The cost estimate is representative of the scope of this project. A Cash Flow Analysis is provided at the end of the report. The cash flow analysis assumes availability of green chips at a price of $35 per green ton in Option A, and a pellet fuel price of $100 per ton in Option B.

Option A-Semi-Automated Wood Chip Plant achieves a positive accumulated cashflow (PAC) in 10 years with a subsidy of $201,500 and in 18 years without subsidy.

Option B-Wood Pellet Fuel Plant achieves a positive accumulated cashflow (PAC) in 10 years with a subsidy of $250,000, and in 25 years without subsidy.

Accumulated cash flow is the primary evaluation measure that is implemented in this report and is similar to simple payback with the exception that accumulated cash flow takes the cost of financing and fuel escalation into account. For many building owners, a positive accumulated cash flow of about 10 years maximum is considered necessary for implementation. Based on this standard, the amount of project subsidy required to achieve a 10-year PAC was calculated and is indicated above. If the School District choose to further pursue a biomass heating system, it is recommended that each of the options be investigated in further detail.

The approach in analyzing this option has been to remain conservative, yet realistic about the performance of biomass heating plants and the cost of their installation. Due to the preliminary nature of this assessment, it is possible that the construction cost estimates can be reduced as additional information relative to the construction is gathered, favorably affecting the economic analysis.

Other factors should be considered when evaluating the viability of this project. The first is that although the current natural gas fuel cost is approximately $7.21/decatherm, natural gas contracts have been as high as $8.50/decatherm. The cash flow analysis assumes a 6% inflation rate in natural gas costs. Individual years may fluctuate beyond that average. The cost of transporting wood pellet fuel to the site should be considered. Wood pellets typically sell for $100/ton, or $6.00/decatherm. Wood chips sell for $35/ton or $3.00/decatherm.

Air Quality permits for wood burning devices in the State of Idaho are required and may impact the overall cost of the project.
## Mullan Athletic Pavilion Biomass Heating Economic Analysis - Wood Chips

**Conversion Proforma for MAP - 4.6% APR - 10 Year Term**

October 12, 2004  
Revision:  
Analyst: Salmon-CTA

### Project Capital Cost  
-$350,000

### Project Financing Information

- **Percent Financed:** 40%
- **Amount Financed:** $140,000
- **Amount of Grants:** $201,000
- **Effective Rate:** 4.6%
- **Term:** 10
- **Annual Finance Cost:** $18,000

### Existing Heating System Operating Costs

#### Unit Costs  
- $0.00

#### Heating Source Proportion
- 0

#### Annual Heating Source Volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heating Units</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
<th>Year 11</th>
<th>Year 12</th>
<th>Year 13</th>
<th>Year 14</th>
<th>Year 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affixed natural gas heating costs</td>
<td>$7,210</td>
<td>4.469</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Displaced Operation and Maintenance Costs  
- $0.00  
- $0.00

### Biomass System Operating Costs

- **Green Chip Fuel (dton, delivered to boiler site, (t/yr)) (60% of total heat reem):** $35.00
- **Small load natural gas (10% of total heat reem):** $8.00

#### Operation and Maintenance Costs  
- $2,710

### Annual Operating Cost Savings

- $6,663  
- $9,383

### Financial Project Costs - Principal and Interest

- $(18,860)  
- $(18,860)

### Displaced System Replacement Costs

- **Special Financing:** $0.00  
- $0.00

### Net Annual Cash Flow

- $(8,987)  
- $(8,987)

### Cumulative Cash Flow

- $(8,987)  
- $(8,947)

### Existing Heating System Operating Costs

- $0.00  
- $0.00

### Biomass System Operating Costs

- **Green Chip Fuel (dton, delivered to boiler site, (t/yr)):** $35.00
- **Small load natural gas (10% of total heat reem):** $8.00

#### Operation and Maintenance Costs  
- $2,710

#### Annual Operating Cost Savings

- $42,088  
- $42,088

### Financial Project Costs - Principal and Interest

- $0.00

### Displaced System Replacement Costs

- **Special Financing:** $0.00

### Net Annual Cash Flow

- $42,088  
- $42,088

---

**cash/return@MAP**
## Conversion Proforma for MAP - 4.6% APR - 10 Year Term

### Project Capital Cost
- **$200,000**

### Project Financing Information
- **Percentage Financed:** 0%
- **Amount Financed:** $200,000
- **Term:** 10

### Annual Retirement Costs (Year 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cashflow Descriptions</th>
<th>Unit Costs</th>
<th>Heating Source</th>
<th>Annual Heating Source</th>
<th>Heating Units</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
<th>Year 11</th>
<th>Year 12</th>
<th>Year 13</th>
<th>Year 14</th>
<th>Year 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Heating System Operating Costs</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaced natural gas heating costs</td>
<td>$7,210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32,402</td>
<td>34,246</td>
<td>36,497</td>
<td>38,561</td>
<td>40,365</td>
<td>42,665</td>
<td>45,692</td>
<td>48,700</td>
<td>51,643</td>
<td>54,742</td>
<td>58,027</td>
<td>61,628</td>
<td>65,998</td>
<td>69,151</td>
<td>73,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass System Operating Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32,678</td>
<td>33,658</td>
<td>34,699</td>
<td>36,744</td>
<td>38,803</td>
<td>40,959</td>
<td>43,138</td>
<td>45,347</td>
<td>47,605</td>
<td>50,004</td>
<td>52,457</td>
<td>54,977</td>
<td>57,560</td>
<td>59,455</td>
<td>69,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellet Fuel (Air, docked to boiler site, (litro) 30% of total heat need)</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32,678</td>
<td>33,658</td>
<td>34,699</td>
<td>36,744</td>
<td>38,803</td>
<td>40,959</td>
<td>43,138</td>
<td>45,347</td>
<td>47,605</td>
<td>50,004</td>
<td>52,457</td>
<td>54,977</td>
<td>57,560</td>
<td>59,455</td>
<td>69,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation and Maintenance Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,710</td>
<td>1,761</td>
<td>1,814</td>
<td>1,889</td>
<td>1,992</td>
<td>2,070</td>
<td>2,149</td>
<td>2,242</td>
<td>2,335</td>
<td>2,443</td>
<td>2,552</td>
<td>2,670</td>
<td>2,799</td>
<td>3,036</td>
<td>3,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Operating Cost Savings</td>
<td>-$5,012</td>
<td>-$4,298</td>
<td>-$3,511</td>
<td>-$2,845</td>
<td>-$2,195</td>
<td>-$1,585</td>
<td>-$1,008</td>
<td>-$685</td>
<td>-$532</td>
<td>$482</td>
<td>$1,722</td>
<td>$3,074</td>
<td>$4,543</td>
<td>$6,139</td>
<td>$7,751</td>
<td>$9,478</td>
<td>$11,247</td>
<td>$13,076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaced Project Costs - Principal and Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Annual Cash Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-$5,012</td>
<td>-$4,298</td>
<td>-$3,511</td>
<td>-$2,845</td>
<td>-$2,195</td>
<td>-$1,585</td>
<td>-$1,008</td>
<td>-$685</td>
<td>-$532</td>
<td>$482</td>
<td>$1,722</td>
<td>$3,074</td>
<td>$4,543</td>
<td>$6,139</td>
<td>$7,751</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cumulative Cash Flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cashflow Descriptions</th>
<th>Unit Costs</th>
<th>Heating Source</th>
<th>Annual Heating Source</th>
<th>Heating Units</th>
<th>Year 16</th>
<th>Year 17</th>
<th>Year 18</th>
<th>Year 19</th>
<th>Year 20</th>
<th>Year 21</th>
<th>Year 22</th>
<th>Year 23</th>
<th>Year 24</th>
<th>Year 25</th>
<th>Year 26</th>
<th>Year 27</th>
<th>Year 28</th>
<th>Year 29</th>
<th>Year 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Heating System Operating Costs</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaced natural gas heating costs</td>
<td>$5,005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32,402</td>
<td>34,246</td>
<td>36,497</td>
<td>38,561</td>
<td>40,365</td>
<td>42,665</td>
<td>45,692</td>
<td>48,700</td>
<td>51,643</td>
<td>54,742</td>
<td>58,027</td>
<td>61,628</td>
<td>65,998</td>
<td>69,151</td>
<td>73,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass System Operating Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32,678</td>
<td>33,658</td>
<td>34,699</td>
<td>36,744</td>
<td>38,803</td>
<td>40,959</td>
<td>43,138</td>
<td>45,347</td>
<td>47,605</td>
<td>50,004</td>
<td>52,457</td>
<td>54,977</td>
<td>57,560</td>
<td>59,455</td>
<td>69,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellet Fuel (Air, docked to boiler site, (litro) 30% of total heat need)</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32,678</td>
<td>33,658</td>
<td>34,699</td>
<td>36,744</td>
<td>38,803</td>
<td>40,959</td>
<td>43,138</td>
<td>45,347</td>
<td>47,605</td>
<td>50,004</td>
<td>52,457</td>
<td>54,977</td>
<td>57,560</td>
<td>59,455</td>
<td>69,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation and Maintenance Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,710</td>
<td>1,761</td>
<td>1,814</td>
<td>1,889</td>
<td>1,992</td>
<td>2,070</td>
<td>2,149</td>
<td>2,242</td>
<td>2,335</td>
<td>2,443</td>
<td>2,552</td>
<td>2,670</td>
<td>2,799</td>
<td>3,036</td>
<td>3,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Operating Cost Savings</td>
<td>$5,012</td>
<td>$4,298</td>
<td>$3,511</td>
<td>$2,845</td>
<td>$2,195</td>
<td>$1,585</td>
<td>$1,008</td>
<td>$685</td>
<td>$532</td>
<td>$482</td>
<td>$1,722</td>
<td>$3,074</td>
<td>$4,543</td>
<td>$6,139</td>
<td>$7,751</td>
<td>$9,478</td>
<td>$11,247</td>
<td>$13,076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cost Summary

- **Net Annual Cash Flow:** $5,012
- **Cumulative Cash Flow:** $5,012

---

*Data from MAP*